Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6577 Case file # 95-257976.

	Material Examiner:	•	Malone (RQ)	
	•		•	
Rema	arks:			•
- Case	resulted in-a-trial, testimo	ony tra	nscript-provided	

Independent Review conducted by:			Steve Roberts	•			
Area(s) of Expertise	e: <u>Hair a</u>	nd Fibe	rs		,		
Review commenced	1 at: 2:45	PM	(Time),	10/23/02	(Date		
File #: 95-2579	76 .					 ,	
Laboratory #(s):	31027005/	311080	57				
,	40522059		 	• •		• .	
`				•		``.	-
		••	Fii	/a\ Pa Cumala	-1- '		<u></u>
		•	Examiner((s) & Symbo	OIS		
·	Revie		Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewe
RQ	XI		.	·		0	0
TR, TT			, Xo			` o	0
to A form about	•		•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Triol taction and tran	scarint(s) of	. h		ls Řeviewed	i	•	,
Trial testimony tran	ıscript(s) of	: <u>1</u>	Material		1		,
Trial testimony tran					1-58		,
Testimony	Date(s):		Michael Malone	<u>,</u>			
Testimony	Date(s):	June 1	Michael Malone	Pages:		Jan. 11, 198	4
Testimony aboratory Report(s)	Date(s): : Number:	June 1	Michael Malone	Pages:	1-58	Jan. 11, 1984 June 4, 1984	
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory	Date(s): : Number: Number:	June 1	Michael Malone 3, 1984 7005/31108057	Pages:	1-58 Date:		
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory	Date(s): Number: Number:	June 1	Michael Malone 3, 1984 7005/31108057	Pages:	1-58 Date: Date:		
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory	Date(s): Number: Number: Number:	June 1	Michael Malone 3, 1984 7005/31108057 2059 nd unidentified	Pages:	1-58 Date: Date:		
Testimony aboratory Report(s) Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory Laboratory	Date(s): Number: Number: Number:	3102 4052 RQ a	Michael Malone 3, 1984 7005/31108057 2059 Ind unidentified 7005	Pages:	1-58 Date: Date:		
Laboratory Report(s) Laboratory	Date(s): Number: Number: Number:	3102 4052 RQ a 3102	Michael Malone 3, 1984 7005/31108057 2059 Ind unidentified 7005	Pages:	1-58 Date: Date:		

	4, 1984
•	Results of Review
File#	: 95-257976 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q3-Q36, K1-K8, K14, K15
,	
	Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:
	Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses
	c
1)	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
	□ Yes □ No X □ Unable to Determine
2)	Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes? □ Yes X□ No □ Unable to Determine
	Review of Testimony:
	Note: Numbered comments are required below or on
	additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses
□ Tra	nscript not available.
3) Tes	stimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?
4) Tes	stimony consistent with the bench notes? X I Yes I No I Unable to Determine
5) Tes	stimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? X I Yes I No I Unable to Determine
	Page 2 of 3

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-257976 .
#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.
#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not initialed or dated, are in pencil
instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The technician did not document the recovery of hair
from the evidence.
#3. The testimony about the significance of the hair match (p. 36) that it would be "highly unlikely" to find
somebody else with head and pubic hair like the victim's hair is much stronger than the opinion in the lab report
that the hair "could have originated from the victim". The report further states "It is pointed out that hair
comparisons do not constitute a basis for positive personal identification". The testimony is also much stronger
than this statement in the report.
Review completed at: 4:30 PM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1.75 hrs.
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of pages.
Steve Roberts Oct. 23, 2002
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials:

Wille & Steer and I replicable to

的有关的是数数数。。"

1 5 3 1